

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/674,217	09/29/2003	Ronald Cantagallo	9400-54	2506	
	20792 7590 02/21/2008 MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC			EXAMINER ·	
PO BOX 37428 RALEIGH, NC 27627			DEANE JR, WILLIAM J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2614		
				·	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/21/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/674,217	CANTAGALLO, RONALD	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William J. Deane	2614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed on the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 S This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or the striction	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	cepted or b) objected to by the edition of the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing (s) is consistent of the drawing (s) is consistent of the drawing (s).	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applica prity documents have been recei au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal	Date	

10/674,217 Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the network administration application, network service application, ISUP parameter fields, a coupling, a switch, a second network administration application and flow charts of the methods as recited in the claims must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner,

the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 – 11, 23 – 33 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Specifically, there are multiple situations where there is no antecedent basis for certain recited limitations. For example, in claim 1, there is no antecedent basis for "the network service application. In addition, it appears that in the second to last line "an identification of the target device" should read as – the identification …

In claim 23, there is no antecedent basis for "the network service application"

In claim 48, there is no antecedent basis for "the network administration
application".

This not meant to be an exhaustive list, but rather merely examples of some of the problems found in some of the claims. If there are any 112 problems found in applicants' response a Final will result.

Because of the many problems found with the application a proper search could not be conducted. However, the rejection below appears to be appropriate.

10/674,217 Art Unit: 2614

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 – 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,724,876 (Williams et al.).

With respect to claims 1 – 49, note that Williams teaches a method effecting telecommunications service features using extracted call control information using a call control application server and monitoring device (network administration application), transmitting a command communication including identification of the initiating and target devices and a code. The Williams et al. system uses ISUP and AIN including the use of SSP, STP and SCP. In addition, Williams et al. teaches the use of SS7 signaling. See Col. 2, line 43 – Col. 4, line 41, Col. 3, lines 53 – 60, Col. 4, lines 11 – 22 and lines 30 – 55, Col. 5, lines 15 – 58, Col. 6, lies 18 – 25, Col. 9, lines 34 – 57 and Col. 11, lines 11 - 40. Note also the Figs.

Application/Control Number:

10/674,217

Art Unit: 2614

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bill Deane whose telephone number is (571) 272-7484. In addition, facsimile transmissions should be directed to Bill Deane at facsimile number (571) 273-8300.

16Feb2008

WILLIAM J. DEANE, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER